

THE CITY OF NEW YORK LAW DEPARTMENT

> 100 CHURCH STREET NEW YORK, NY 10007

**USDC SDNY** DOCUMENT **ELECTRONICALLY FILED** DOC #: DATE FILED:

ROBERT WENNEMER

Assistant Corporation Counsel Office: (212) 356-2331 Cell: (929) 486-5821 Fax: (212) 356-3509 Email: rwenneme@law.nyc.gov

August 5, 2021

VIA ECF

GEORGIA M. PESTANA

Corporation Counsel

Honorable Katharine H. Parker United States Magistrate Judge **United States District Court** Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007

**APPLICATION GRANTED:** The Clerk of Court is requested to mail a copy of this endorsement to the Plaintiff.

APPLICATION GRANTED

Hon. Katharine H. Parker. U.S.M.J.

08/05/2021

Alexander Williams, Jr. v. City of New York, et al.,

21 Civ. 1083 (PGG) (KHP)

Your Honor:

Re:

I am the Assistant Corporation Counsel in the office of Georgia M. Pestana, Corporation Counsel of the City of New York ("Office"), assigned to the defense of the above-referenced matter. I write on behalf of defendant City of New York (the "City") to respectfully request that defendants be permitted to file a Motion to Dismiss in this matter by September 27, 2021 and that discovery be stayed pending the resolution of this motion.

By way of brief background, on May 10, 2021, the Court accepted Alexander Williams, Jr.'s ("plaintiff") Second Amended Complaint as the operative pleading in this matter and stayed discovery pending the Court's review of the Second Amended Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 ("Section 1915"). (See Dkt. No. 47). On July 29, 2021, the Court completed its Section 1915 review and issued a Report and Recommendation that recommended dismissal of sixteen (16) defendants. (See Dkt. No. 60).

The instant action has been accepted as related to two (2) other cases currently pending before the Court: Johnanni Anduze v. City of New York, et al., 21 Civ. 519 (PGG) (KHP), and Gabriel Flores v. City of New York, et al., 21 Civ. 1680 (PGG) (KHP). (See Dkt. No. 42). On August 3, 2021, at the Initial Pretrial Conferences of the Anduze and Flores matters, the Court ordered that defendants' Motion to Dismiss be filed by September 27, 2021, plaintiffs' Opposition be due by November 12, 2021, and defendants' Reply be due by November 30, 2021. The Court

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Although this Office has not yet made representation determinations with respect to the individual defendants in this matter, the City writes on behalf of the individual defendants as a party-in-interest.

further ordered that discovery is stayed in these two (2) related cases pending the outcome of defendants' motion. (See Anduze Dkt. No. 22 & Flores Dkt. No. 23).

As the three (3) related cases at issue have many overlapping defendants and claims due to the plaintiffs' assertions that their constitutional rights have been violated by way of the alleged enforcement of Command Level Order 370.20, the City respectfully requests that the Court adopt the same briefing schedule for the instant action that has been issued in the *Anduze* and *Flores* matters. Accordingly, the City respectfully requests that defendants' Motion to Dismiss be due by September 27, 2021, plaintiff's Opposition be due by November 12, 2021, and the City's Reply be due by November 30, 2021. The City further respectfully requests that discovery be stayed in this matter pending the resolution of this motion.

Thank you for your consideration herein.

Respectfully submitted,

Is Robert Wennemer, Esq.

Robert Wennemer

Assistant Corporation Counsel

Special Federal Litigation Division

cc: Alexander Williams, Jr. (via First-Class Mail)

Plaintiff Pro Se

NYSID: 01897858L

B&C No. 1411801632

George R. Vierno Center
09-09 Hazen Street

East Elmhurst, NY 11370